

שנים אוחוים בעולת זה את אני מינא
מינאתין זה את אני מינאתין זה את אני מינאתין זה את אני מינאתין זה את מיני מינא
מינא שלי זה את בולה שלי זה יישבע
שאין לובה פחות מוזיניה יותלוקו
האת בולה שלי זה את הצייה שלי
האת בולה שלי וזה את הצייה שלי
שלי ישבע שאין לובה פחות מרביע
שלי ישבע שאין לובה פחות מרביע
ה נוטל שלושה חלקים והן מטלוביני
ב היו שנים רבובים עלוביבה מה
וו שהיה אחר יבוב ואותר מחלך זה את
וואן לופחות מחיניה ווה ישבע שאין
ישות מוזיניה יותלקיבונן שהן מחין
ישות מוזיניה יותלקיבונן שהן מחין



Week 3: BM Chapter 4

Mishnah in Depth

מסכת בבא מציעא Tractate Bava Metzia

Dr. Rachel Furst Drisha, Fall 2024

Mishnah Bava Metzia, Chapter 4

Mishnah 1	How to finalize a transaction involving moveable property
Mishnah 2	How to finalize a transaction involving moveable property, examples
Mishnah 3	Definition of ona'ah (financial exploitation)
Mishnah 4	Who is subject to the laws of <i>ona'ah</i>
Mishnah 5	Definition of <i>ona'ah</i> for coins
Mishnah 6	Statute of limitations for ona'ah
Mishnah 7	List of the minimum amounts of money needed to enact certain laws
Mishnah 8	Five instances in which a person must add a fifth of the value of something
Mishnah 9	Nine things to which the laws of ona'ah do not apply
Mishnah 10	Definition of ona'at devarim (verbal exploitation/oppression)
Mishnah 11	Prohibition to mix produce from different fields, wine from different presses, etc.
Mishnah 12	Other forbidden business practices

When one party takes possession of **the gold** coins, the other party acquires the silver coins. But when one party takes possession of the **silver** coins, the other party **does not acquire the gold** coins. In an exchange of silver coins for copper coins, when one party takes possession of the copper coins, the other party acquires the silver coins. But when one party takes possession of the silver coins, the other party does not acquire the copper coins. In an exchange of flawed coins for unflawed coins, when one party takes possession of the flawed coins, the other party acquires the unflawed coins. But when one party takes possession of the unflawed coins, the other party does not acquire the flawed coins. In an exchange of an unminted coin for a minted coin, when one party takes possession of an unminted coin [asimon], the other party acquires a minted coin. But when one party takes possession of a minted **coin**, the other party does not acquire an unminted coin. In an exchange of a coin for movable property, when one party takes possession of the movable **property** the other party acquires the coin. But when one party takes possession of the coin, the other party does not acquire the movable property. This is the principle: With regard to those who exchange all forms of movable property, each acquires the property of the other, i.e., the moment that one of the parties to the exchange takes possession of the item that he is acquiring, the other party acquires the item from the first party.

משנה בבא מציעא ד:א

הַזָּהָב קוֹנֶה אֶת הַכֶּסֶף, וְהַכָּסֶף אֵינוֹ קוֹנֶה אֶת הַזָּהָב. הַנְּחֹשֶׁת קוֹנָה אֶת הַכָּסֶף, וְהַכָּסֶף אֵינוֹ קוֹנֶה אֶת הַזְּהָב. הַנְּחֹשֶׁת. מְעוֹת הָרְעוֹת קוֹנוֹת אֶת הַיָּפוֹת אֶת הַיָּפוֹת אֶת הַרָעוֹת. אֲסִימוֹן הַיָּפוֹת אֵינָן קוֹנוֹת אֶת הָרְעוֹת. אֲסִימוֹן קוֹנֶה אֶת הַמַּטְבֵּעַ אֵינוֹ קוֹנֶה אֶת הַמַּטְבֵּעַ אֵינוֹ קוֹנֶה אֶת אָסִימוֹן. מִטַּלְטְלִין קוֹנִים אֶת הַמַּטְבֵּע, וְהַמַּטְבֵּעַ אֵינוֹ קוֹנֶה אֶת הַמִּטְבָּע, וְהַמַּטְבֵּעַ אֵינוֹ קוֹנֶה אֶת הַמִּטְלְּטְלִין. זֶה הַכְּלְל, כָּל הַמְּטַלְטְלִין קוֹנִין זֶה אֶת זֵה:

Mishnah Bava Batra 5:7

This mishnah discusses several methods of acquiring movable property. With regard to one who sells produce to another, if the buyer pulled the produce but did not measure it, he has acquired the produce through the act of acquisition of pulling. If he measured the produce but did not pull it, he has not acquired it, and either the seller or the buyer can decide to rescind the sale. If the buyer is perspicacious and wants to acquire the produce without having to pull it, and he wishes to do so before the seller could change his mind and decide not to sell, he rents its place, where the produce is located, and his property immediately effects acquisition of the produce on his behalf. With regard to one who buys flax from another, because flax is usually carried around this purchaser has not acquired it until he carries it from place to place and acquires it by means of the act of acquisition of lifting. Pulling the flax is ineffective. And if it was attached to the ground, and he detached any amount, he has acquired it, as the Gemara will explain.

משנה בבא בתרא ה:ז

הַמּוֹכֵר פֵּרוֹת לַחֲבֵרוֹ, מָשַׁךְ וְלֹא מָדַד, קָנָה. מָדַד וְלֹא מָשַׁךְ, לֹא קָנָה. אָם הָיָה פָּקְחַ, שׁוֹכֵר אֶת מְקוֹמָן. הַלּוֹקֵחַ פִּשְׁתָּן מֵחֲבֵרוֹ, הֲרֵי זֶה לֹא קָנָה עַד שֶׁיְּטַלְטְלֶנּוּ מִמָּקוֹם לְמָקוֹם. וְאִם הָיָה בִמְחֻבָּר לַקַּרְקַע וְתָלַשׁ כָּל שֶׁהוּא, קָנָה:

How so? If the buyer **pulled produce from** the seller, **but** the buyer did not yet give the seller their value in money, he cannot renege on the transaction, but if the buyer gave the seller money but did **not** yet **pull produce from him, he can renege** on the transaction, as the transaction is not yet complete. **But** with regard to the latter case, the Sages said: He Who exacted payment from the people of the generation of the flood, and from the generation of the **dispersion,** i.e., that of the Tower of Babel, **will** in the future **exact** payment from whoever does not stand by his statement. Just as the people of those generations were not punished by an earthly court but were subjected to divine punishment, so too, although no earthly court can compel the person who reneged to complete the transaction, punishment will be exacted at the hand of Heaven for any damage that he caused. Rabbi Shimon says: Anyone who has the money in his possession has the advantage.

משנה בבא מציעא ד:ב

פֵּיצַד. מָשַׁךְ הֵימֶנּוּ פֵרוֹת וְלֹא נָתַן לוֹ מָעוֹת, אֵינוֹ יָכוֹל לַחֲזֹר בּוֹ. נָתַן לוֹ מָעוֹת וְלֹא מָשַׁךְ הֵימֶנּוּ פֵּרוֹת, יָכוֹל לַחֲזֹר בּוֹ. אֲבָל אָמְרוּ, מִי שֶׁפֶּרַע מֵאַנְשֵׁי דוֹר הַמַּבּוּל וּמִדּוֹר הַפַּלָּגָה, הוּא עָתִיד לְהִפֶּרַע מִמִּי שֶׁאֵינוֹ עוֹמֵד בְּדִבּוּרוֹ. רַבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן אוֹמֵר, כָּל שֶׁהַכֶּסֶף בִּיָדוֹ, יָדוֹ עַל הָעֶלְיוֹנָה:

Babylonian Talmud Bava Metzia 48a

But isn't it taught in a baraita: Rabbi Shimon says: Even though the Sages said that when one party takes possession of a garment, the other party acquires a gold dinar, but when one party takes possession of a gold dinar, the other party does not acquire a garment, in any case, that is what the halakha would be. But the Sages **said** with regard to one who reneges on a transaction where one party pulled the gold dinar into his possession: He Who exacted payment from the people of the generation of the flood, and from the people of the generation of the dispersion, and from the inhabitants of Sodom and Gomorrah, and from the Egyptians in the Red Sea, will in the future exact payment from whoever does not stand by his statement. The baraita concludes: And one who negotiates, where the negotiation culminates with a statement committing himself to acquire the item, did not acquire the item without a formal act of acquisition. **But** with regard to **one** who reneges on his commitment, the Sages are displeased with him.

בבלי בבא מציעא מח, עמוד ב

וְהָתַנְיָא, רַבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן אוֹמֵר: אַף עַל פִּי שֶׁאָמְרוּ טַלִּית קוֹנָה דִּינַר זָהָב וְאֵין דִּינַר זָהָב קוֹנֶה טַלִּית, מְכָּל מָקוֹם כָּךְ הָלָכָה. אֲבָל אָמְרוּ: מִי טֻלִּית, מִכְּל מָקוֹם כָּךְ הָלָכָה. אֲבָל אָמְרוּ: מִי שֶׁפֶּרַע מֵאַנְשֵׁי דּוֹר הַמַּבּוּל וּמֵאַנְשֵׁי דּוֹר הַפְּלַגָּה וּמֵאַנְשֵׁי דְּוֹר הַמְּצְרַיִם בַּיָם – הוּא וּמִאַנְשֵׁי סְדוֹם וַעְמוֹרָה וּמִמְּצְרַיִם בַּיָם – הוּא עַתִיד לִיפָּרַע מִמִּי שֶׁאֵינוֹ עוֹמֵד בְּדִיבּוּרוֹ. וְהַנּוֹשֵׂא עְתִיד לִיפָּרַע מִמִּי שָׁאֵינוֹ עוֹמֵד בְּדִיבּוּרוֹ. וְהַנּוֹשֵׂא וְנוֹתֵן בִּדְבָרִים – לֹא קָנָה, וְהַחוֹזֵר בּוֹ – אֵין רוּחַ חַכָּמִים נוֹחָה הֵימֶנּוּ.

Leviticus 25:14

(14) When you sell property to your neighbor, or buy any from your neighbor, you shall not wrong one another. (15) In buying from your neighbor, you shall deduct only for the number of years since the jubilee; and in selling to you, that person shall charge you only for the remaining crop years: (16) the more such years, the higher the price you pay; the fewer such years, the lower the price; for what is being sold to you is a number of harvests. (17) Do not wrong one another, but fear your God; for I, יהוה, am your God.

ויקרא כה:יד

(יד) וְכִי־תִּמְכָּרוּ מִמְכָּר לַעֲמִיתֶּךְ אָוֹ קָנָה מִיּיָד עֲמִיתֶךְ אַל־תּוֹנָוּ אָישׁ אֶת־אָחִיוּ: (טוֹ) בְּמִסְכַּר שָׁנִים אַחַר הַיּוֹבֵּל תִּקְנֶה מֵאֵת עֲמִיתֶךְ בְּמִסְכַּר שְׁנִי־תְבוּאָת יִמְכָּר־לֵּךְ: (טזֹ) לְפִין רָב הַשָּׁנִים תַּרְבָּה מִקְנָתוֹ וּלְפִי מְעָט הַשָּׁנִים תַּמְעִיט מִקְנָתוֹ כָּי מִסְפַּר תְּבוּאֹת הָוּא מֹכֵר לֵךְ: (יז) וְלְאׁ תוֹנוּ אַישׁ אֶת־עֲמִיתוֹ וְיֵרָאתָ מֵאֱלֹהֶיךְ כֵּי אֲנִי יְהֹוָה אֱלֹהֵיכֶם:

The measure of **exploitation** for which one can claim that he was exploited is four silver ma'a from the twenty-four silver ma'a in a sela, or one-sixth of the transaction. Until when is it permitted for the buyer to return the item? He may return it only until a period of time has passed that would allow him to show the merchandise to a merchant or to his relative who is more familiar with the market price of merchandise. If more time has elapsed he can no longer return the item, as the assumption is that he waived his right to receive the sum of the disparity. The mishna continues: Rabbi **Tarfon ruled in Lod: Exploitation** is a measure of **eight silver** ma'a from the twenty-four silver ma'a of a sela, one-third of the transaction. And the merchants of Lod rejoiced, as this ruling allowed them a greater profit margin and rendered the nullification of a transaction less likely. Rabbi Tarfon said to them: Throughout the entire day it is permitted to renege on the transaction and not merely for the period of time it takes to show the purchase item to a merchant or a relative. The merchants of Lod said to him: Let Rabbi Tarfon leave us as we were, with the previous ruling, and they reverted to following the statement of the Rabbis in the mishna with regard to both rulings.

משנה בבא מציעא ד:ג

הָאוֹנָאָה, אַרְבָּעָה כֶּסֶף מֵעֶשְׂרִים וְאַרְבָּעָה כֶּסֶף לֵּסֶלְע, שְׁתוּת לַמִּקָּח. עַד מָתִי מֻתָּר לְהַחֲזִיר. עַד כְּדִי שָׁיַּרְאָה לְתַגָּר אוֹ לִקְרוֹבוֹ. הוֹרָה רַבִּי טַרְפוֹן בְּלוֹד, הָאוֹנָאָה שְׁמֹנָה כֶּסֶף לַסֶּלַע, שְׁלִישׁ טַרְפוֹן בְּלוֹד, הָאוֹנָאָה שְׁמֹנָה כֶּסֶף לַסֶּלַע, שְׁלִישׁ לַמָּקְח, וְשָׁמְחוּ תַגָּרֵי לוֹד. אָמַר לָהֶם, כָּל הַיּוֹם מֻתָּר לְהַחְזִיר. אָמְרוּ לוֹ, יַנִּיחַ לָנוּ רַבִּי טַרְפוֹן בִּמְקוֹמֵנוּ, וְחָזְרוּ לְדִבְרֵי חָכָמִים:

These are matters that are not subject to the *halakhot* of **exploitation** even if the disparity between the value and the payment is one-sixth or greater: Slaves, and documents, and land, and consecrated property. In addition, if they are stolen, these items are subject neither to payment of double the principal for theft nor to payment of four or five times the principal, if the thief slaughtered or sold a stolen sheep or cow, respectively. **An unpaid** bailee does not take an oath and a paid bailee does not pay if these items were stolen or lost. Rabbi Shimon says: With regard to sacrificial animals for which one bears responsibility to replace them, they are subject to the halakhot of exploitation, as this responsibility indicates a certain aspect of ownership. **And** those **for** which one does not bear responsibility to replace them, they are **not** subject to the *halakhot* of **exploitation**. **Rabbi Yehuda says**: Even in the case of one who sells a Torah scroll, an animal, or a **pearl**, these items are not subject to the halakhot of exploitation, as they have no fixed price. The Rabbis said to him: The early Sages stated that only these items listed above are not subject to the halakhot of exploitation.

משנה בבא מציעא ד:ט

אֵלּוּ דְבָרִים שָׁאֵין לָהֶם אוֹנָאָה. הָעֲבָדִים, וְהַשְּׁטְרוֹת, וְהַקּּרְקּעוֹת, וְהַהֶּקְדֵּשׁוֹת. אֵין לָהֶן וְהַשְּׁטְרוֹת, וְהַקּרְקּעוֹת, וְהַהֶּקְדֵּשׁוֹת. אֵין לָהֶן לֹא תַשְׁלוּמִי אַרְבָּעָה וְחֲמִשָּׁה, שׁוֹמֵר חִנָּם אֵינוֹ נִשְׁבָּע, וְנוֹשֵׂא שָׂכָר אֵינוֹ מְשַׁלֵּם. שׁוֹמֵר חִנָּם אֵינוֹ נִשְׁבָּע, וְנוֹשֵׂא שָׂכָר אֵינוֹ מְשַׁלֵּם. רַבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן אוֹמֵר, קְדָשִׁים שֶׁהוּא חַיָּב בְּאַחֲרָיוּתָן, יֵשׁ לָהֶן אוֹנָאָה, וְשָׁאֵינוֹ חַיָּב בְּאַחֲרָיוּתָן, אֵין לָהֶן אוֹנָאָה. רַבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר, בְּאַחְרִיוּתָן, אֵין לָהֶן אוֹנָאָה. רְבָּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר, אַף הַמּוֹכֵר סֵפֶּר תּוֹרָה, בְּהֵמָה וּמַרְגָּלִית, אֵין לָהֶם אוֹנָאָה. אָמְרוּ לוֹ, לֹא אָמְרוּ אֶלָּא אֶת אֵלּוּ:

Leviticus 25:14

(14) When you sell property to your neighbor, or buy any from your neighbor, you shall not wrong one another. (15) In buying from your neighbor, you shall deduct only for the number of years since the jubilee; and in selling to you, that person shall charge you only for the remaining crop years: (16) the more such years, the higher the price you pay; the fewer such years, the lower the price; for what is being sold to you is a number of harvests. (17) Do not wrong one another, but fear your God; for I, יהוה, am your God.

Sifra – Behar, Section 3:1

Whence is it derived that (the law of) ona'ah ("wronging") does not apply to land? From "or acquire from the hand ... you shall not wrong" — ona'ah applies to a movable object, (which is transferred from hand to hand), but not to land.

ויקרא כה:יד

(יד) וְכִי־תִּמְכְּרוּ מִמְכָּר ֹלַעֲמִיתֶּךְ אָוֹ קָנָה מִיַּדּ עֲמִיתֶּךְ אַל־תּוֹנָוּ אָישׁ אֶת־אָחְיוּ: (טוּ) בְּמִסְפַּר שָׁנִים אַחַר הַיּוֹבֵּל תִּקְנֶה מֵאֵת עֲמִיתֶךְ בְּמִסְפַּר שְׁנִי־תְבוּאָת יִמְכָּר־לֵּךְ: (טזּ) לְפִיּ וֹ רָב הַשָּׁנִים תַּרְבָּה מִקְנָתוֹ וּלְפִי מְעַט הַשָּׁנִים תַּמְעִיט מִקְנָתוֹ כֵּי מִסְפַּר תְּבוּאֹת הָוּא מֹכֵר לֶךְ: (יז) וְלָאׁ תוֹנוּ אָישׁ אֶת־עֲמִיתוֹ וְיָרָאתָ מֵאֱלֹהֶיךְ כֵּי אֲנִי יְהֹוָה אֱלֹהֵיכֶם:

ספרא – בהר, חלק ג:א

מנין שאין אונאה לקרקעות? תלמוד לומר "או קנה מיד..אל תונו" – המטלטלים יש להם אונאה ואין אונאה לקרקעות.

Babylonian Talmud Bava Metzia 48b

Reish Lakish says: The act of acquisition of **pulling is explicit in the** Torah, and it is not merely by rabbinic decree that payment of money does not effect acquisition of movable property. The Gemara asks: What is the reason for the opinion of Reish Lakish? He derives it from the Torah, as the verse states: "And if you sell to your colleague an item that is sold, or acquire from your colleague's hand, you shall not exploit his brother" (Leviticus 25:14), and the reference is to an item that is acquired from hand to hand, i.e., by means of pulling. And Rabbi Yohanan said: The term "from your colleague's hand" is not teaching that an item can be acquired by pulling. Rather, it serves to exclude land, which is **not** subject to the *halakha* of **exploitation** because it is not physically handed over from one to another.

בבלי בבא מציעא מח, עמוד ב

רֵישׁ לָקִישׁ אָמַר: מְשִׁיכָה מְפּוֹרֶשֶׁת מִן הַתּוֹרָה. מַאי טַעְמָא דְּרֵישׁ לָקִישׁ? אָמַר קְרָא: ״וְכִי תִמְכְּרוּ מִמְכָּר לַעֲמִיתֶךְ אוֹ קָנֹה מִיַּד עֲמִיתֶךְ״, דָּבָר הַנִּקְנֶה מִיָּד לְיָד. וְרַבִּי יוֹחָנֶן אָמַר: ״מִיַּד״ – לְמַעוֹטֵי קַרְקַע, דְּלֵית בַּהּ אוֹנָאָה.

These are matters that are not subject to the *halakhot* of **exploitation** even if the disparity between the value and the payment is one-sixth or greater: Slaves, and documents, and land, and consecrated property. In addition, if they are stolen, these items are subject neither to payment of double the principal for theft nor to payment of four or five times the principal, if the thief slaughtered or sold a stolen sheep or cow, respectively. **An unpaid** bailee does not take an oath and a paid bailee does not pay if these items were stolen or lost. Rabbi Shimon says: With regard to sacrificial animals for which one bears responsibility to replace them, they are subject to the halakhot of exploitation, as this responsibility indicates a certain aspect of ownership. **And** those **for** which one does not bear responsibility to replace them, they are **not** subject to the *halakhot* of **exploitation**. **Rabbi Yehuda says**: Even in the case of one who sells a Torah scroll, an animal, or a **pearl**, these items are not subject to the halakhot of exploitation, as they have no fixed price. The Rabbis said to him: The early Sages stated that only these items listed above are not subject to the halakhot of exploitation.

משנה בבא מציעא ד:ט

אֵלּוּ דְבָרִים שָׁאֵין לָהֶם אוֹנָאָה. הָעֲבָדִים, וְהַשְּׁטְרוֹת, וְהַקּּרְקּעוֹת, וְהַהֶּקְדֵּשׁוֹת. אֵין לָהֶן וְהַשְּׁטְרוֹת, וְהַקּרְקּעוֹת, וְהַהֶּקְדֵּשׁוֹת. אֵין לָהֶן לֹא תַשְׁלוּמִי אַרְבָּעָה וְחֲמִשָּׁה, שׁוֹמֵר חִנָּם אֵינוֹ נִשְׁבָּע, וְנוֹשֵׂא שָׂכָר אֵינוֹ מְשַׁלֵּם. שׁוֹמֵר חִנָּם אֵינוֹ נִשְׁבָּע, וְנוֹשֵׂא שָׂכָר אֵינוֹ מְשַׁלֵּם. רַבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן אוֹמֵר, קְדָשִׁים שֶׁהוּא חַיָּב בְּאַחֲרָיוּתָן, יֵשׁ לָהֶן אוֹנָאָה, וְשָׁאֵינוֹ חַיָּב בְּאַחֲרָיוּתָן, אֵין לָהֶן אוֹנָאָה. רַבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר, בְּאַחְרִיוּתָן, אֵין לָהֶן אוֹנָאָה. רְבָּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר, אַף הַמּוֹכֵר סֵפֶּר תּוֹרָה, בְּהֵמָה וּמַרְגָּלִית, אֵין לָהֶם אוֹנָאָה. אָמְרוּ לוֹ, לֹא אָמְרוּ אֶלָּא אֶת אֵלּוּ:

Tosefta Bava Metzia 3:13

R. Judah says, A Torah scroll, an animal, or a pearl—they are not subject to a claim of fraud (by reason of overcharge, M BM 4:9). A Torah scroll, because it is beyond price; a beast or a pearl, because a person wants to buy them for a match with their counterpart (and therefore there is no limit to what they would be willing to pay). They said to him, But is it not so that every sort of object a man wants to match up with its pair? R. Judah b. Betera says, A horse, a battle-ax, and a good sword in time of war are not subject to a claim of fraud (by reason of overcharge).

And further: verbal oppression is worse than monetary oppression. Regarding monetary oppression [the Torah] says *you shall not wrong one another* (Lev 25:14), and regarding verbal oppression [the Torah] says *Do not wrong one another, but fear your God* (Lev 25:17) – you should fear the One who looks out for them.

תוספתא בבא מציעא ג:יג

ר' יהודה אומר ס"ת בהמה ומרגלית אין להן אונאה ס"ת מפני שאין לו דמים בהמה ומרגלית מפני שרוצה לזווגן אמרו לו והלא כל דבר רוצה לזווגו ר"י בן בתירא אומר הסוס והטיטוס והסייף במלחמה אין להן אונאה כשם שאונאה במקח כך אונאה בדברים.

ועוד מרובה אונאת דברים מאונאת ממון באונאת ממון אומר (ויקרא כה) אל תונו איש את אחיו ובאונאת דברים הוא אומר (שם) ולא תונו איש את עמיתו ויראת מאלהיך ויראת ממי שפוקד על אלו.

Just as there is a prohibition against exploitation [ona'a] in buying and selling, so is there ona'a in statements, i.e., verbal mistreatment. The mishna proceeds to cite examples of verbal mistreatment. One may not say to a seller: For how much are you selling this item, if he does not wish to purchase it. He thereby upsets the seller when the deal fails to materialize. The mishna lists other examples: If one is a penitent, another may not say to him: Remember your earlier deeds. If one is the child of converts, another may not say to him: Remember the deeds of your ancestors, as it is stated: "And a convert shall you neither mistreat, nor shall you oppress him" (Exodus 22:20).

משנה בבא מציעא ג:י

לְּשֵׁם שֶׁאוֹנָאָה בְמִקָּח וּמִמְכָּר, כָּךְ אוֹנָאָה בְּדְבָּרִים. לֹא יֹאמַר לוֹ בְּכַמָּה חֵפֶץ זֶה, וְהוּא אֵינוֹ רוֹצֶה לְקַח. אִם הָיָה בַעַל תְּשׁוּבָה, לֹא יֹאמַר לוֹ זְכֹר מַעֲשֶׂיךְ הָרְאשׁוֹנִים. אִם הוּא בֶּן יִאמַר לוֹ זְכֹר מַעֲשֶׂיךְ הָרְאשׁוֹנִים. אִם הוּא בֶּן גַּרִים, לֹא יֹאמַר לוֹ זְכֹר מַעֲשֵׂה אֲבוֹתֶיךְ, שָׁנִים, לֹא יֹאמַר לוֹ זְכֹר מַעֲשֵׂה אֲבוֹתֶיךְ, שָׁנִּאְמֵר (שמות כב) וְגֵר לֹא תוֹנֶה וְלֹא תִוֹנֶה וְלֹא תִלְחָצֶנּוּ:

Babylonian Talmud Bava Metzia 58b

Rabbi Yoḥanan says in the name of Rabbi Shimon ben Yoḥai: Greater is the transgression of verbal mistreatment than the transgression of monetary exploitation, as with regard to this, verbal mistreatment, it is stated: "And you shall fear your God." But with regard to that, monetary exploitation, it is not stated: "And you shall fear your God." And Rabbi Elazar said this explanation: This, verbal mistreatment, affects one's body; but that, monetary exploitation, affects one's money. Rabbi Shmuel bar Naḥmani says: This, monetary exploitation, is given to restitution; but that, verbal mistreatment, is not given to restitution.

The Gemara relates that the tanna who recited mishnayot and baraitot in the study hall taught a baraita before Rav Naḥman bar Yitzḥak: Anyone who humiliates another in public, it is as though he were spilling blood. Rav Naḥman bar Yitzḥak said to him: You have spoken well, as we see that after the humiliated person blushes, the red leaves his face and pallor comes in its place, which is tantamount to spilling his blood.

בבלי בבא מציעא נח, עמוד ב

אָמַר רַבִּי יוֹחָנָן מִשׁוּם רַבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן יוֹחַאי: גָּדוֹל אוֹנָאַת דְּבָרִים מֵאוֹנָאַת מָמוֹן, שֶׁזֶּה נֶאֱמַר בּוֹ ״וְיָרֵאתָ מֵאֱלֹהֶיךְ״, וְזֶה לֹא נֶאֱמַר בּוֹ ״וְיָרֵאתָ מֵאֱלֹהֶיךְ״. וְרַבִּי אֶלְעָזָר אוֹמֵר: זֶה בְּגוּפּוֹ וְזֶה בְּמָמוֹנוֹ. רַבִּי שְׁמוּאֵל בַּר נַחְמָנִי אָמַר: זֶה נִיתַּן לְהִישָּׁבוֹן, וְזֶה לֹא נִיתַּן לְהִישָׁבוֹן.

תָּנֵי תַּנָּא קַמֵּיהּ דְּרַב נַחְמָן בַּר יִצְחָק: כָּל הַמַּלְבִּין פְּנֵי חֲבֵירוֹ בָּרַבִּים, כְּאִילּוּ שׁוֹפֵךְ דָּמִים. אֲמַר לֵיהּ: שַׁפִּיר קָא אָמְרַתְּ, דְּחָזֵינָא לֵיהּ דְּאָזֵיל סוּמָקא וְאָתֵי חִוּוֹרָא...