On Love, Hatred and Loyalty

Stanley Rudoff Memorial High Holy Day Lecture Series

Dr. Elana Stein Hain – elana.steinhain@shalomhartman.org

Part I: Interpersonal Love

1. Vayikra – Leviticus 19

	11 You shall not steal; neither shall you deal falsely, nor lie one to another.
	12 And you shall not swear by My name falsely, so that you profane the name of your God: I am the LORD.
	13 You shall not oppress your neighbour, nor rob them; the wages of a hired servant shall not abide with you all night until the morning.
	14 You shall not curse the deaf, nor put a stumbling-block before the blind, but you shall fear your God: I am the LORD.
פְנֵי-דָל, וְלֹא תֶהְדַּר פְּנֵי גָדוֹל: בְּצֶדֶק, תִּשְׁפּט	15 You shall do no unrighteousness in judgment; you shall not raise up the person of the poor, nor favour the person of the mighty; but in righteousness shall you judge your neighbour.
	16 You shall not go up and down as a talebearer among your people; neither shall you stand idly by the blood of your neighbour: I am the LORD.
	17 You shall not hate your brother in your heart; you shall surely rebuke your neighbour, and not bear sin because of them.
	18 You shall not take vengeance, nor bear any grudge against the children of your people, but your shall love your neighbour as yourself: I am the LORD.

An Endless Cycle

2. Bereshit – Genesis 37

א וַיֵּשֶׁב יַעֲקֹב, בְּאֶרֶץ מְגוּרֵי אָבִיו--בְּאֶרֶץ, **1** And Jacob dwelled in the land of his father's כְּנָעַן.

ב אֵלֶה תַּלְדוֹת יַעֲקֹב, יוֹסֵף בֶּן-שְׁבַע-עֶשְׁבֵה בַּעָשְׁבָה בַּעָרָאָשְׁבָה אָלָדוֹת יַעֲקֹב, יוֹסֵף בָּן-שְׁבַע-עֶשְׁבֵה שָׁנָה הָיָה רֹעֶה אֶת-אֶחָיו בַּצֹאן, וְהוּא נַעַר אֶת-בְּנֵי דִלְהָה וְאֶת-בְּנֵי זִלְפָּה, נְשֵׁי אָבִיו; וַיָּבֵא Bilhah, and with the sons of Zilpah, his father's wives; and Joseph brought evil report of them unto their father.

ג וְיִשְׂרָאֵל, אָהַב אֶת-יוֹסֵף **3** Now Israel loved Joseph more than all his children, מִכָּל-בָּנָיו--כִּי-בֶן-זְקֵנִים הוּא, לוֹ; וְעָשָׂה לוֹ, because he was the son of his old age; and he made כְּתֹנֶת פַּסִים.

ד וַיִּרְאוּ אֶחָיו, כִּי-אֹתוֹ אָהַב אֲבִיהֶם **4** And when his brethren saw that their father loved מְכָּל-אֶחָיו--וַיִּשְׂנְאוּ, אֹתוֹ; וְלֹא יָכְלוּ, דַבְּרוֹ in more than all his brethren, they hated him, and מְכָּל-אֶחָיו--וַיִּשְׂנְאוּ, אֹתוֹ; וְלֹא יָכָלוּ, דַבְּרוֹ לְשָׁלֹם.

ה וַיַּחֲלֹם יוֹסֵף חֲלוֹם, וַיַּגֵּד לְאֶחָיו; וַיּוֹסָפוּ עוֹד, 5 And Joseph dreamed a dream, and he told it to his שְׁנֹא אֹתוֹ.

Love as Loyalty

3. Mishlei - Proverbs 9

קָרָישְׂנָאֶךָ; הוֹכַח לְחָכָם, אַל-תּוֹכַח לֵץ, פֶּן-יִשְׂנָאֶךָ; הוֹכַח לְחָכָם, **8** Reprove not a scorner, lest they hate you; reprove ויא הָבָרָ וְיָאֶהָבֶךָ.

י הּוֹדַע לְצַדִּיק, וְיוֹסֶף **ס** תֵּן לְחָכָם, וְיֶחְכַּם-עוֹד; הוֹדַע לְצַדִּיק, וְיוֹסֶף **9** Give to a wise person, and they will be yet wiser; לְקַח. learning.

4. Mishlei - Proverbs 3

יא מוּסַר ה', בְּנִי אַל-תִּמְאָס; וְאַל-תָּקֹץ, **11** My son, despise not the chastening of the LORD, בְּתוֹכַחְתוֹ.

יב כִּי אֶת אֲשֶׁר יֶאֱהַב ה' יוֹכִיחַ; **12** For whom the LORD loves God corrects, וּכְאַב, אֶת-בֵּן יִרְצֶה.

5. Mishlei - Proverbs 10

יח מְכַסֶּה שִׂנְאָה, שִׂפְתֵי-שָׁקֶר; וּמוֹצָא דִבָּה, **18** One who conceals hatred has lying lips, and one הוּא כְסִיל. who utters slander is a fool.

6. Manual of Discipline 1QS 9:15-21

15. He should carry out the judgment of each one in accordance with his spirit, and advance each one (לקרבו) according to the cleanness of a man's hands, and according to his insight

16. bring him close (להגישו) and thus (establish) his love along with his hatred (עם אהבתו וכן) But he must not reprove (להוכיח לוא אשר) or argue with the Men of the Pit,

17. but instead conceal the counsel of the Torah (התורה עצת את ולסתר) in the midst of the Men of Deceit. He must reprove (ולהוכיח) with true knowledge and righteous judgment (only) the chosen of

18. the Way, each according to his spirit and according to the norm of the time. He shall guide them with knowledge, and instruct them in the mysteries of wonder and truth in the midst of 19. the Men of the Community, so that they may walk perfectly each one with his fellow in everything which has been revealed to them.

7. Sifra Kedoshim 4 (Commentary to Lev. 19:17)

אמר ר' טרפון העבודה אם יש בדור הזה יכול להוכיח אמר רבי אלעזר בן עזריה העבודה אם יש משמות לקבל תוכחת אמר ר"ע העבודה אם משמות לקבל תוכחת אמר ר"ע העבודה אם משמות לקבל תוכחת אמר ר"ע העבודה אם משמות לקבל תוכחת אמר רבי יוחנן בן Said Rabbi E נורי מעידני עלי שמים וארץ שיותר מארבעה Said Rabbi E Temple serv not one who שהיה מוסיף לי אהבה:

Said Rabbi Tarfon: By the Temple service! In this generation, there is no one who can administer *Tokheha*!

Said Rabbi Eleazar ben 'Azariah: By the Temple service! In this generation, there is not one who can accept *Tokheha*!

Said Rabbi Yohanan son of Nuri: I call heaven and earth as witness, that more than four or five times was Akiva punished by Rabbi Gamaliel due to me, because I complained about him, yet I knew that nevertheless he increased his love towards me.

8. Albert O. Hirschman, Exit, Voice and Loyalty: Responses to Decline in Firms, Organizations, and States

The performance of a firm or an organization is assumed to be subject to deterioration for unspecified, random causes which are neither so compelling nor so durable as to prevent a return to previous performance levels, provided managers direct their attention and energy to

that task. The deterioration in performance is reflected most typically and generally, that is, for both firms and other organizations, in an absolute or comparative deterioration of the quality of the produce or service provided. Management then finds out about its failings via two alternative routes:

- 1. Some customers stop buying the firm's products or some members leave the organization: this is the exit option. As a result, revenues drop, membership declines, and management is impelled to search for ways and means to correct whatever faults have led to exit.
- 2. The firm's customers or the organization's members express their dissatisfaction directly to management or to some other authority to which management is subordinate or through general protest addressed to anyone who cares to listen: this is the voice option. As a result, management once again engages in a search for the causes and possible cures of customers' and members' dissatisfaction. (3)

...the presence of the exit option can sharply reduce the probability that the voice option will be taken up widely and effectively. Exit was shown to drive out voice...The importance of loyalty from our point of view is that it can neutralize within certain limits the tendency of the most quality- conscious customers or members to be the first to exit...As a result of loyalty, these potentially most influential customers and members will stay on longer than they would ordinarily, in the hope or, rather, reasoned expectation that improvement or reform can be

achieved "from within." Thus loyalty, far from being irrational, can serve the socially useful purpose of preventing deterioration from becoming cumulative, as it so often does when there is not barrier to exit. (76, 79)

9. Babylonian Talmud Ketubot 37b

אי מה להלן בקופיץ וממול עורף אף כאן בקופיץ וממול עורף אמר רב נחמן אמר רבה בר אבוה אמר קרא (<u>ויקרא יט, יח</u>) ואהבת לרעך כמוך ברור לו מיתה יפה

The Gemara asks: If so, just as there, in the case of the beheaded calf, it is beheaded with a cleaver [*kofitz*] and at the nape of the neck, here too the court executes murderers with a cleaver and at the nape of the neck. Rav Naḥman said that Rabba bar Avuh said that the verse says: "And you shall love your neighbor as yourself" (Leviticus 19:18), from which it is derived: Choose for him an agreeable death. It is prohibited to abuse a guilty person while executing him, and chopping off his head with a cleaver is an unseemly death. The murderer is beheaded from the neck, not with a cleaver, and not by the other methods employed in beheading the calf.

Part II – Love of God

10. Devarim - Deuteronomy 6

ד שְׁמַע, יִשְׂרָאֵל: ה' אֶ-לֹהֵינוּ, ה' אֶחָד. ה וְאָהַבְתָּ, אֵת ה' אֲ-לֹהֶיךָ, בְּכָל-לְבָבְךָ וּבְכָל-נַפְשְׁךָ, וּבְכָל-מְאֹדֶךָ. וּ וְהָיוּ הַדְּבָרִים הָאֵלֶּה, אֲשֶׁר אָנֹכִי מְצַוּךְ הַיוֹם--עַל-לְבָבֶךָ. ז וְשִׁנַּנְתָם לְבָנֶיךָ, וְדִבַּרְתָּ בָּם, בְּשְׁבְתְרְ בְּבֵיתֶךְ וּבְלֶכְתְּךְ בַדֶּרֶךָ, וּבְשָׁכְבְּךָ וּבְקוּמֶךְ. ח וּקְשַׁרְתָּם לְאוֹת, עַל-יָדֶךָ; וְהָיוּ לְטֹטָפֹת, בֵּין עֵינֶיךָ. ט וּכְתַבְתָּם עַל-מְזֻזוֹת בִּיתֶרָ, וּבִשְׁעָרֶיךָ. {ס}

4 Hear, O Israel: the LORD our God, the LORD is one. **5** And thou shalt love the LORD thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy might. **6** And these words, which I command thee this day, shall be upon thy heart; **7** and thou shalt teach them diligently unto thy children, and shalt talk of them when thou sittest in thy house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest down, and when thou risest up. **8** And thou shalt bind them for a sign upon thy hand, and they shall be for frontlets between thine eyes. **9** And thou shalt write them upon the door-posts of thy house, and upon thy gates. **{S}**

11. Martha C. Nussbaum, Upheavals of Thought: The Intelligence of Emotions (2001) 172-3

Emotions, I shall argue, involve judgments about important things, judgments in which, appraising an external object as salient for our own well-being, we acknowledge our own neediness and incompleteness before parts of the world that we do not fully control (19)...I shall argue that emotions always involve thought of an object combined with thought of the object's salience or importance; in that sense, they always involve appraisal or evaluation. I shall therefore refer to my view as a type of "cognitive-evaluative" view...But by "cognitive" I mean nothing more than "concerned with receiving and processing information." I do not mean to imply the presence of elaborate calculation, of computation, or even of reflexive self-awareness. (23)

The cognitive/evaluative view implies that emotional content is itself part of a creature's pursuit of flourishing. Given the fact that human beings deliberate ethically about how to live, it implies that emotions are part and parcel of ethical deliberation. If we see emotions as impulses, we will think that we can educate or change them only by suppression. Thus Kant thought that virtue must always be a matter of strength, as the will learns to keep a lid on inappropriate inclinations, rather like a good cook holding down the lid on a boiling pot. But in daily life, we more often endorse a different picture: we believe that emotions have an intentional content, and that people can do a good deal to shape the content of their own, and especially of their children's emerging emotions. Thus the recognition of "social construction" should lead to a recognition of space and freedom, rather than the reverse...

12. Devarim – Deuteronomy 10:12-11:1

מַעִמָּרְ: כִּי אִם-לְיִרְאָה אֶת-ה' אֶ-לֹהֶיך לָלֶכֶת בְּכָל-דְּרָכָיו, וּלְאַהֲבָה אֹתוֹ, וְלַעֲבֹד	12 And now, Israel, what does the LORD your God require of you, but to fear the LORD your God, to walk in all God's ways, and to love God, and to serve the LORD your God with all your heart and with all your soul;
	13 to keep for your good the commandments of the LORD, and God's statutes, which I command your today?
	14 Behold, unto the LORD your God belongs the heaven, and the heaven of heavens, the earth, with all that therein is.
וַיִּבְחַר בְּזַרְעָם אַחֲרֵיהֶם, בָּכֶם	15 Only the LORD had a delight in your ancestors to love them, and God chose their seed after them, even you, above all peoples, as it is this day.
	16 Circumcise therefore the foreskin of your heart, and be no more stiff-necked.
ָאֶ-לֹהֵי הָאֶ-לֹהִים, וַאָ-דֹנֵי	17 For the LORD your God, is God of gods, and Lord of lords, the great God, the mighty, and the awe-inspiring, who regards not persons, nor takes reward.
	18 God does execute justice for the fatherless and widow, and loves the stranger, in giving them food and raiment.
• • • • • • •	19 Love you therefore the stranger; for you were strangers in the land of Egypt.
	20 You shall fear the LORD your God; you shall serve God; and to God shalt thou cleave, and by God's name shall you swear.
	21 God is your glory, and God is your Lord Who has done for your these great and tremendous things, which your eyes have seen.
וְעַתָּה, שָׂמְךָ ה'	22 Your ancestors went down into Egypt with seventy people; and now the LORD your God has made you as the stars of heaven for multitude.
א ואהבת, את ה' א-להיר; ושמרת 1 Therefore you shall love the LORD your God, and keep	

אַ אָהַבְתָּ, אֵת ה' אֵ-לֹהֶיךָ; וְשָׁמַרְתָּ **1** Therefore you shall love the LORD your God, and keep מִשְׁמַרְתּוֹ, וְחֵקֹתָיו וּמִשְׁפָּטָיו and God's commandments, always.

13. Jacqueline E. Lapsley, "Feeling Our Way: Love for God in Deuteronomy," *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* Volume 65, Issue 3 (2003)

My goal has been to suggest that the language of love in Deuteronomy funds an array of meanings diverse enough to warrant further inquiry. (363)

At first glance it appears that the Shema in Deut 6:5 supports the idea that the emotions play a significant role in the type of love that is commanded. Must not loving Yhwh "with all your heart (לבב) and all your soul (נפש) and all your might (לבב) necessarily involve the emotions? This idea, however, while not rejected outright, has garnered very little support. Because there are some ancient Near Eastern treaty parallels for this phrase, it is generally viewed as part of the borrowing and thus reflective of the necessary fealty of a vassal. The significant parallels in Hittite and Akkadian are not exact (none contains the threefold Deuteronomic combination) but involve a "fighting with the whole heart" for the suzerain and being faithful to him "with all your heart." This is widely interpreted as meaning that vassals must be loyal with "sincerity of heart and truthfulness of behaviour," that is, the obligation must "affect their whole being." As for the meaning of the phrase in Deut 6:5, Dean McBride has offered an interpretation that is widely endorsed: the three clauses do not offer totally separate meanings but collectively insist on the "absolute singularity of personal devotion to God" with one's "fullest capacity." Here, McBride sees an intense personal dimension to the love Israel should express for God, but still he reemphasizes that such a love "bears no trace of mystical self-denial or spiritual privatism."This reminder stems from a quite laudable concern to situate the expression of love for God within the community, in social relationships; but the emphasis on love as action in community appears to leave little remainder. Is love for God, as Deuteronomy envisions it, coterminous with adherence to the law? (364)

One reason that is urged for understanding Israel's love for God as obedience is that this love is a "love that can be commanded." This argument falls into the trap of driving a wedge—a typically modern wedge—between emotion and action. Indeed, some scholars have noted that the argument does not hold up under scrutiny. As Jeffrey Tigay observes, "The idea of commanding a feeling is not foreign to the Torah, which assumes that people can cultivate proper attitudes." The objection that feelings cannot be commanded relies on the modern notions not only that feelings exist within the private world of the individual, but also that they are uncontrollable. In order to talk about love in Deuteronomy, on the other hand, we must come up with a way to talk about emotion that does not perpetuate the modern propensity to privatize feelings and separate them from action. What is needed is an explanation not only of the content of love in Deuteronomy but of how the writers of Deuteronomy constructed their entire moral discourse out of their own social and cultural resources, not ours. If we can hear the Deuteronomic view of love correctly, it will likely offer a trenchant critique of our modern construction of love, with its uncontrollable romantic feelings and actions. (365)

Yet if feelings without actions are ethically suspect, then the contrary is also true. Actions devoid of feelings of love, even those that fulfill the commandments, are morally suspect. The

biblical clue for this appears in God's relationship with Israel. God's love for Israel is not expressed solely in action but in the divine emotional response to Israel. Somehow, God's love for Israel is born out of a feeling, and that feeling has moral weight and relates in a significant way to the actions God takes on Israel's behalf (Deut 7:8). Recent work in the area of virtue ethics and narrative ethics lends support to the significance of emotions in the moral life, as do arguments being made in the field of neurobiology. Arguments on this topic appear to be divided into two broad streams: one holding that emotions are of ethical value but are not an integral part of cognition per se (e.g., Michael Stocker), the other that emotions are crucial for ethics because they are an integral part of cognition (e.g., Martha Nussbaum from a philosophical perspective, or Antonio Damasio from a neurobiological perspective). According to this second line of thinking, "appropriate"—that is, "right"—emotional responses are, in fact, necessary for right moral thinking. It is the implications of this second line of thinking for understanding love in Deuteronomy that I want to mention briefly here. From their respective disciplines, Nussbaum and Damasio argue that emotions provide human beings "with a sense of how the world relates to [their] own set[s] of goals and projects. Without that sense, decision making and action are derailed." Damasio demonstrates that persons who lack emotions as a result of damage to the area of the brain where emotions are thought to "reside" are perfectly capable of intelligent, "rational" thought, but are utterly incapable of making any kind of moral decision or evaluation—they lack any sense of engagement between themselves and the world. Nussbaum calls the engagement of one's own vital projects with the world— missing in Damasio's brain-damaged patients—the "eudaimonistic element."

Emotions are necessary in the moral life, according to Nussbaum, because they constitute judgments of value; they are "value-laden ways of understanding the world." This appraisal of the role of emotions is significant for how love is understood in Deuteronomy. If it can be shown that love for God in Deuteronomy is not limited to obedience or to an emotionless version of loyalty but, in fact, has emotion as a constitutive element, then it follows from the research of Damasio and Nussbaum that the emotionally loving response to the deity is not an irrelevant footnote to obedience to the law, but is fundamental to a proper relationship with God. (368-9)

14. Tehillim - Psalms 139

כא הֲלוֹא-מְשַׂנְאֶיךָ ה' אֶשְׂנָא; וּבִתְקוֹמְמֶיךָ, אֶתְקוֹטָט. **כב** תַּכְלִית שִׂנְאָה שְׂנֵאתִים; לְאוֹיְבִים, הָיוּ לִי. 21 Do not I hate them, O LORD, that hate Thee? And do not I strive with those that rise up against Thee? 22 I hate them with utmost hatred; I count them mine enemies.